

Final Examination-Standard Solutions

September 6, 2017

1. (20 points) Answer the following questions:

- (a) What are the main contents of this course?
- (b) What are the reasons to use nonlinear control technologies?

Solution:

- (a) *The main contents of this course include: the introduction of nonlinear systems, phase plane analysis of second-order systems, Lyapunov stability theory for autonomous systems and non-autonomous systems, nonlinear control design methods including backstepping and sliding model control, and control applications for robotic manipulators.*
 - (b) *The reasons to use nonlinear control technologies include: improvement of existing control systems, analysis of hard nonlinearities, dealing with model uncertainties, and design simplicity.*
2. (20 points) Consider the following second-order nonlinear systems

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 - x_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1), \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1 - x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1).\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Find the equilibrium points of the system and determine the type of each isolated one.
- (b) Show that there exists a limit cycle and determine its stability.

Solution:

(a) *To find the equilibrium points, let*

$$0 = x_2 - x_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1), \quad (1)$$

$$0 = -x_1 - x_2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1). \quad (2)$$

After some manipulation, we can get

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, the equilibrium point is $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$. To determine the type of the equilibrium point, we can compute the Jacobian matrix

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} -3x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 1 & 1 - 2x_1x_2 \\ -1 - 2x_1x_2 & -x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} |_{x_1=0, x_2=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1,2} = 1 \pm i$. The equilibrium point is an unstable focus.

(b) Assume that $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$, $\theta = \arctan \frac{x_2}{x_1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{r} &= r^{-1}(x_1\dot{x}_1 + x_2\dot{x}_2) = -r^2(r^2 - 1) \\ \dot{\theta} &= \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{x_2}{x_1})^2} \cdot \frac{\dot{x}_2x_1 - x_2\dot{x}_1}{x_1^2} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\dot{r} = 1$. We have $r = 0$ and $r = 1$. Clearly, $r = 0$ represents the equilibrium point and $r = 1$ represents a limit cycle. Note that when $0 < r < 1$, $\dot{r} > 0$, which means that r will increase. On the other hand, when $r > 1$, $\dot{r} < 0$, which means that r will decrease. Therefore, $r = 1$ is a stable limit cycle.

3. (10 points) Consider the following nonlinear systems

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_3, \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_2 \sin x_1 + e^{-x_3} u. \end{aligned}$$

Design a backstepping controller $u(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ such that the origin $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ is asymptotically stable.

Solution:

We start with the scalar systems

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2 \quad (3)$$

with x_2 being viewed as the input and proceed to design a feedback control $x_2 = \phi_1(x_1)$ to stabilize the origin $x_1 = 0$. Clearly, with

$$\phi_1(x_1) = -x_1^2 - x_1$$

We have

$$\dot{x}_1 = -x_1^3 - x_1. \quad (4)$$

Consider a Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2.$$

Its derivative along (4) is

$$\dot{V}_1(x_1) = x_1 \dot{x}_1 = -x_1^2 - x_1^4.$$

Hence, the origin $x_1 = 0$ of $\dot{x}_1 = -x_1^3 - x_1$ is globally asymptotically stable.

To backstep, we use the change of variables

$$y_1 = x_2 - \phi_1(x_1) = x_2 + x_1^2 + x_1 \quad (5)$$

to transform the system (3) into the form

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2 = x_1^2 - x_1^3 + y_1 + \phi_1(x_1) = -x_1^3 - x_1 + y_1, \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{y}_1 = \dot{x}_2 + 2x_1\dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_1 = x_3 + (2x_1 + 1)(x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2). \quad (7)$$

Consider a Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_2(x_1, y_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}y_1^2.$$

Its derivative along (6) and (7) is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2 &= x_1 \dot{x}_1 + y_1 \dot{y}_1 \\ &= -x_1^2 - x_1^4 + y_1 [x_3 + x_1 + (2x_1 + 1)(x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, with

$$x_3 = -x_1 - (2x_1 + 1)(x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2) - k_1 y_1 \triangleq \phi_2(x_1, x_2), \quad k_1 > 0,$$

We have

$$\dot{V}_2 = -x_1^2 - x_1^4 - k_1 y_1^2.$$

To backstep, we use the change of variables

$$y_2 = x_3 - \phi_2(x_1, x_2) \quad (8)$$

to transform the system into the form

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2, \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = y_2 + \phi_2(x_1, x_2), \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_2 &= \dot{x}_3 - \phi_2(x_1, x_2) \\ &= x_2 \sin x_1 + e^{-x_1} - \frac{\partial \phi_2(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} (x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2) \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial \phi_2(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} (y_2 + \phi_2(x_1, x_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Consider the combined Lyapunov function candidate,

$$V_c = V_2 + \frac{1}{2}y_2^2. \quad (12)$$

Its derivative along (9)-(11) is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_c &= \dot{V}_2 + y_2 \dot{y}_2 \\ &= -x_1^2 - x_1^4 - k_1 y_1^2 + y_1 y_2 + y_2 [x_2 \sin x_1 + e^{-x_3} u \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial \phi_2(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} (x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2) - \frac{\partial \phi_2(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} (y_2 + \phi_2(x_1, x_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the control input can be designed as

$$\begin{aligned} u &= e^{-x_3} \left\{ -k_2 y_2 - x_2 \sin x_1 - y_1 + \frac{\partial \phi_2(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} (x_1^2 - x_1^3 + x_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \phi_2(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} (y_2 + \phi_2(x_1, x_2)) \right\} \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\dot{V}_c = -x_1^2 - x_1^4 - k_1 y_1^2 - k_2 y_2^2.$$

It follows from LaSalle-Yoshizawa Theorem that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y_2(t) = 0$. From (5), we can get $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_2(t) = 0$. From (8), we can get $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_3(t) = 0$.

4. (10 points) We denote by $\|x\|$ the absolute value of x if x is a scalar and the Euclidean norm of x if x is a vector. For functions of time, the L_p norm is given by

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\int_0^\infty \|x(\tau)\|^p d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$, while

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t)\|.$$

We say that $x \in L_p$ when $\|x\|_p < \infty$. Write down Barbalat's lemma and use it to prove the following corollary.

Corollary 0.1 If $x \in L_2 \cap L_\infty$ and $\dot{x} \in L_\infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

Solution: Barbalat's Lemma: If the differentiable function $f(t)$ has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and if \dot{f} is uniformly continuous, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{f}(t) = 0$.

Proof: Define

$$f(t) = \int_0^t \|x(\tau)\|^2 d\tau$$

Since $x \in \mathbb{L}_2$, we can get $f(t)$ has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore,

$$\dot{f}(t) = \|x(t)\|^2, \quad \ddot{f}(t) = 2x^T(t)\dot{x}(t)$$

From the fact that $x, \dot{x} \in \mathbb{L}_\infty$, we can get $\ddot{f}(t) \in \mathbb{L}_\infty$, which implies that \dot{f} is uniformly continuous. Therefore, we can get from Barbalat's lemma that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{f}(t) = 0$, that is, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

5. (10 points) Consider the following first-order system

$$\dot{x} = u + d_1(t) + d_2(t)x + d_3(t)x^2,$$

where $d_1(t)$, $d_2(t)$, and $d_3(t)$ are time-varying continuous functions satisfying

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \{\|d_1(t)\|, \|d_2(t)\|, \|d_3(t)\|\} \leq d_{\max},$$

for some positive constant d_{\max} . Design a controller using the sliding mode technology such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$. *Solution: Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate*

$$V = \frac{1}{2}x^2 \quad (14)$$

whose derivative can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= x\dot{x} \\ &= x(u + d_1 + d_2x + d_3x^2) \\ &\leq xu + \|d_1x\| + \|d_2x^2\| + \|d_3x^3\| \\ &\leq xu + d_{\max}\|x\|(1 + \|x\| + x^2). \end{aligned}$$

To make \dot{V} negative definite, we design the controller as follows

$$u = -k_1x - d_{\max}\text{sgn}(x)(1 + \|x\| + x^2) \quad (15)$$

where $k_1 > 0$ is a constant. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &\leq x(-k_1x - d_{\max}\text{sgn}(x)(1 + \|x\| + x^2)) + d_{\max}\|x\|(1 + \|x\| + x^2) \\ &\leq -k_1x^2 - d_{\max}\|x\|(1 + \|x\| + x^2) + d_{\max}\|x\|(1 + \|x\| + x^2) \\ &= -k_1x^2 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, \dot{V} is negative definite, and we can conclude that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

6. (30 points) The nonlinear dynamic equations for an m -link robot take the form

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + D\dot{q} + g(q) = u$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the vector of generalized coordinates representing the joint positions, $M(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is the symmetric positive-definite inertia matrix, $C(q, \dot{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the vector of Coriolis and centrifugal torques, $D\dot{q} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the vector of viscous damping, $g(q) = [\partial P(q)/\partial q]^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the gravitational torque. $P(q)$ is a positive definite function of q representing the total potential energy of the links due to gravity, and $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the control torque. The following assumptions hold

- (A1) There exist positive constants k_m and k_M such that $0 < k_m I_p \leq M(q) \leq k_M I_p$.
- (A2) $\dot{M}(q) - 2C(q, \dot{q})$ is skew symmetric.
- (A3) D is a positive semidefinite symmetric matrix.
- (A4) $g(q) = 0$ has an isolated root at $q = 0$.

- (a) With $u = 0$, use the total energy $V(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^T M(q)\dot{q} + P(q)$ as a Lyapunov function candidate to show that the origin ($q = 0, \dot{q} = 0$) is stable.
- (b) With $u = -K_v \dot{q}$, where K_v is a positive diagonal matrix, show that the origin is asymptotically stable.
- (c) With $u = g(q) - K_p(q - q_d) - K_v \dot{q}$, where K_p and K_v are positive diagonal matrices, and q_d is a constant desired position, show that the point ($q = q_d, \dot{q} = 0$) is asymptotically stable.
- (d) Design a controller such that $q(t)$ asymptotically tracks a reference trajectory $q_d(t)$, where $q_d(t)$, $\dot{q}_d(t)$, and $\ddot{q}_d(t)$ are continuous and bounded.

Solution:

- (a) Since $u = 0$ and $g(q) = 0$, $q = 0, \dot{q} = 0$ is an equilibrium point of the system. The derivative of V can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q)\dot{q} + \dot{q}^T M(q)\ddot{q} + \frac{\partial P(q)}{\partial q} \dot{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q)\dot{q} + \dot{q}^T (-C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - D\dot{q} - g(q)) + g^T(q)\dot{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^T (\dot{M}(q) - 2C(q, \dot{q}))\dot{q} - \dot{q}^T D\dot{q} \\ &= -\dot{q}^T D\dot{q} \end{aligned}$$

Since D is positive semidefinite, we can get $\dot{V} \leq 0$, which implies that the origin is stable.

(b) With $u = -K_v \dot{q}$, the derivation of the Lyapunov function candidate is

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + \dot{q}^T M(q) \ddot{q} + \frac{\partial P(q)}{\partial q} \dot{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + \dot{q}^T (-C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{q} - D \dot{q} - g(q) - K_v \dot{q}) + g^T(q) \dot{q} \\ &= -\dot{q}^T D \dot{q} - \dot{q}^T K_v \dot{q}\end{aligned}$$

Since D is positive semidefinite and K_v is positive definite, we can get $\dot{V} \leq 0$. Note that the set $\{(q, \dot{q}) | \dot{V} = 0\} = \{(q, \dot{q}) | \dot{q} = 0\}$. When $\dot{q} = 0$, $\ddot{q} = 0$. We then can get $g(q) = 0$, which implies that $q = 0$. From LaShall's Theorem, we can conclude that the origin is asymptotically stable.

(c) With $u = g(q) - K_p(q - q_d) - K_v \dot{q}$, the closed-loop system can be written as

$$M(q) \ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{q} + D \dot{q} = -K_p(q - q_d) - K_v \dot{q}$$

Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T M(q) \dot{q} + P(q) + \frac{1}{2} (q - q_d)^T K_p (q - q_d)$$

Its derivative is

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + \dot{q}^T M(q) \ddot{q} + \frac{\partial P(q)}{\partial q} \dot{q} + \dot{q}^T K_p (q - q_d) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^T \dot{M}(q) \dot{q} + \dot{q}^T (-C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{q} - D \dot{q} - g(q) - K_v \dot{q} - K_p (q - q_d)) \\ &\quad + g^T(q) \dot{q} + \dot{q}^T K_p (q - q_d) \\ &= -\dot{q}^T D \dot{q} - \dot{q}^T K_v \dot{q}\end{aligned}$$

From the analysis in (c), we can conclude that $\dot{V}_1 \leq 0$ and $\{(q, \dot{q}) | \dot{V}_1 = 0\} = \{(q, \dot{q}) | \dot{q} = 0\}$. When $\dot{q} = 0$, we have $\ddot{q} = 0$ and $q = q_d$. From LaShall's Theorem, we can conclude that the equilibrium point $(q = q_d, \dot{q} = 0)$ is asymptotically stable.

(d) Define the following tracking errors and auxiliary variables

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{q} &= q(t) - q_d(t), & \dot{\bar{q}} &= \dot{q}(t) - \dot{q}_d(t) \\ \dot{\bar{q}}_r &= -\lambda \bar{q}, & s &= \dot{\bar{q}} - \dot{\bar{q}}_r = \dot{\bar{q}} + \lambda \bar{q}\end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda > 0$ being a constant. Then the dynamic equation can be written as

$$M(q) \dot{s} + C(q, \dot{q}) s + D s = u - M(q) \ddot{q}_r - C(q, \dot{q}) \dot{\bar{q}}_r - D \dot{\bar{q}}_r - g(q)$$

Design the following control input

$$u = -Ks + M(q)\ddot{q}_r + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q}_r + D\dot{q}_r + g(q)$$

where $K > 0$ is a positive definite matrix. Then the closed-loop system can be written as

$$M(q)\dot{s} + C(q, \dot{q})s + Ds - ks$$

Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$V = \frac{1}{2}s^T M(q)s$$

Its derivative can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \frac{1}{2}s^T \dot{M}(q)s + s^T M(q)\dot{s} \\ &= -s^T (K + D)s\end{aligned}$$

Since D is positive semidefinite and K is positive definite, we can conclude that \dot{V} is negative definite. Therefore, we can obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = 0$. Note that the following system is input-to-state stable with the input s and the state \tilde{q}

$$\dot{\tilde{q}} = -\lambda\tilde{q} + s$$

Therefore, we can get $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{q}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\tilde{q}}(t) = 0$.